# Programming Abstractions

Lecture 11: Y Combinator; or: how to write a recursive, anonymous function

#### How do we write a recursive function?

#### How do we write a recursive function?

(without using define)

Recall, this binds len to our function ( $\lambda$  (lst) ...) in the body of the letrec

This expression returns the procedure bound to len which computes the length of its argument

Why does this not work to create a length procedure? (Note let rather than letrec.)

- A. It would work but letrec more clearly conveys the programmer's intent to write a recursive procedure
- B. len is not defined inside the  $\lambda$

- C. len is not defined in the last line
- D. 1en isn't being called in the last line, it's being returned and this is an error
- E. None of the above

#### How do we write a recursive function?

(just using anonymous functions created via λs)

Less easy, but let's give it a go!

```
(λ (lst)
  (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
       [else (add1 (??? (rest lst)))]))
```

We need to put something in the recursive case in place of the ??? but what?

```
If we replace the \ref{thm:list:equation} with (\lambda (lst) (error "List too long!")) we'll get a function that correctly computes the length of empty lists, but fails with nonempty lists
```

#### Put the function itself there?

Not a terrible attempt, we still have ???, but now we can compute lengths of the empty list and a single element list (if we replace the ??? with the error message again)

#### Maybe we can abstract out the function

This isn't a function that operates on lists!

It's a function that takes a function len as a parameter and returns a closure that takes a list lst as a parameter and computes a sort of length function using the passed in len function

### make-length

This is the same function as before but bound to the identifier make-length

- The orange text (together with purple text) is the body of make-length
- ► The purple text is the body of the closure returned by (make-length len)

```
(define L0 (make-length (\lambda (lst) (error "too long"))))
```

► L0 correctly computes the length of the empty list but fails on longer lists

### make-length

- Ln correctly computes the length of lists of size at most n
- ► We need an L<sub>∞</sub> in order to work for all lists
- (make-length length) would work correctly, but that's cheating!

#### Enter the Y combinator

Y is a "fixed-point combinator"

A combinator is a function that operates on functions (more or less)

```
If f is a function of one argument, then (Y f) = (f (Y f))
```

This is precisely the length function: (define length (Y make-length))

(define length (Y make-length))

```
(define length (Y make-length))
```

Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
  (length '(1 2 3)); so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
```

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
(length '(1 2 3)); so 1st is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))); lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
                [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))); lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [...][else (add1 ...)])))
```

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
(length '(1 2 3)); so 1st is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))); lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))); lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [...][else (add1 ...)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '()))); lst is bound to '()
```

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
(length '(1 2 3)); so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))); lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))]))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))); lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [...][else (add1 ...)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '()))); lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][...]))))
```

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
(length '(1 2 3)); so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))); lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))); lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [...][else (add1 ...)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '()))); lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][...]))))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
```

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
(length '(1 2 3)); so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))); lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))); lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [...][else (add1 ...)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '()))); lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][...]))))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
=> 3
```

```
(define length (Y make-length))
Let's step through applying our length function to '(1 2 3)
(length '(1 2 3)); so lst is bound to '(1 2 3)
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
         [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (length '(2 3))); lst is bound to '(2 3)
=> (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
               [else (add1 ((Y make-length) (rest lst)))])
=> (add1 (add1 (length '(3)))); lst is bound to '(3)
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [...][else (add1 ...)])))
=> (add1 (add1 (length '()))); lst is bound to '()
=> (add1 (add1 (cond [(empty? lst) 0][...]))))
=> (add1 (add1 (add1 0)))
=> 3
```

#### Example: sum

```
(define sum
  (\lambda (lst))
    (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
           [else (+ (first lst) (sum (rest lst)))]))
(define sum-2
 (Y (λ (recsum)
       (\lambda (lst)
         (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
                [else (+ (first lst) (recsum (rest lst)))])))))
```

These are both sum functions but sum-2 uses Y

#### But wait, how can that work?

#### Two problems:

- We defined Y in terms of Y! It's recursive and the whole point was to write recursive anonymous functions
- (Y f) = (f (Y f)) but then
   (f (Y f)) = (f (Y f)) = (f (f (Y f))) = ...
   and this will never end

Y is defined such that

$$(Y f) = (f (Y f))$$

What does bad do?

- A. bad is an infinite loop that is equivalent to (define (bad x) (bad x))
- B. bad is never defined because (Y ( $\lambda$  (loop) ...)) causes an infinite loop
- C. bad is the identity function: (bad x) = x

### Defining Y

It's tricky to see what's going on but Y is a function of f and its body is applying the anonymous function  $(\lambda (g) (f (g g)))$  to the argument  $(\lambda (g) (f (g g)))$  and returning the result.

```
(Y \text{ foo}) = ((\lambda \text{ (g) (foo (g g))}) ; \text{ By applying Y to foo} \\ (\lambda \text{ (g) (foo (g g))}) ; \\ = (\text{foo (}(\lambda \text{ (g) (foo (g g))})) ; \text{ By applying orange fun} \\ (\lambda \text{ (g) (foo (g g))}))) ; \text{ to purple argument} \\ = (\text{foo (Y foo)}) ; \text{ From definition of Y}
```

### Never ending computation

This form of the Y-combinator doesn't work in Scheme because the computation would never end

We can fix this by using the related Z-combinator

```
(define Z  (\lambda \text{ (f)} )   (\lambda \text{ (g) (f ($\lambda$ ($v$) ((g g) $v$))))}   (\lambda \text{ (g) (f ($\lambda$ ($v$) ((g g) $v$)))))}
```

With this definition, we can create a length function (define length (Z make-length))

### What is length actually defined as here?

```
(define Z
  (\lambda (f)
     ((\lambda (g) (f (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
      (\lambda (g) (f (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))))
(define length (Z make-length))
(Z make-length)
=> ((\lambda (g) (make-length (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
     (\lambda (g) (make-length (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
=> (make-length (\lambda (v) ((\lambda (g) (make-length (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
                                (\lambda (g) (make-length (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
                               V)))
```

#### Let's apply some equivalences

```
(make-length (\lambda (v) (((\lambda (g) (make-length (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
                         (\lambda (g) (make-length (\lambda (v) ((g g) v))))
                        V)))
=> (make-length (\lambda (v) ((Z make-length) v)))
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
          [else (add1 ((\lambda (v) (Z make-length) v))
                         (rest lst))]
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
          [else (add1 ((\lambda (v) (length v))
                         (rest lst)))])
=> (cond [(empty? lst) 0]
          [else (add1 (length (rest lst)))])
```

#### We can use Z to make recursive functions

```
Given a recursive function of one variable
(define foo
  (λ (x) ... (foo ...) ...)
we can construct this only using anonymous functions by way of Z
(Z (\lambda (foo) (\lambda (x) ... (foo ...)))
Factorial
(Z (\lambda (fact))
      (\lambda (n))
         (if (zero? n)
              (* n (fact (sub1 n))))))
```

### Step by step

- 1. Write your recursive function normally with recursive calls:  $(define\ foo\ (\lambda\ (x)\ ...))$
- 2. Wrap the lambda in another, single-argument lambda whose argument has the same name as your function: (define foo ( $\lambda$  (foo) ( $\lambda$  (x) ...))
- 3. Apply Z to that (define foo (Z ( $\lambda$  (foo) ( $\lambda$  (x) ...)))
- 4. Be thankful that programming language designers give us easier ways to write recursive functions!

Imagine a version of Scheme without define or letrec, how can we write a recursive function foo and call it on a list? In other words, how do we write

```
(letrec ([foo (\lambda (lst) (... (foo ...) ...))]) (foo '(1 2 3)))
```

B. (let ([foo (Z (
$$\lambda$$
 (foo) ( $\lambda$  (lst) (... (foo ...) ...))))]) (foo '(1 2 3)))

C. It's not possible to write recursive functions without define or letrec in Scheme

### What about multi-argument functions?

We can use apply!

```
(define Z*  (\lambda \text{ (f)} )   (\lambda \text{ (g) (f ($\lambda$ args (apply (g g) args))))}   (\lambda \text{ (g) (f ($\lambda$ args (apply (g g) args))))}
```

#### Example: map

We're applying z\* to the orange function which returns a recursive map procedure

Then we're applying that procedure to the arguments add1 and '(1 2 3 4 5)